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INTRODUCTION

The Barkerville subterrane is part of the pericratonic Kootenay terrane, deposited along the western edge of ancestral North America. The Kootenay terrane, and possible correlative rocks of northern British Columbia and Yukon, contain numerous volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits, concentrated largely in EoCambrian to Early Cambrian and Middle Devonian to Early Mississippian times. These periods represent contrasting tectonic regimes along the continental margin, with distinct volcanic assemblages and characteristic massive sulphide deposits.

Tholeiitic and alkalic mafic volcanism in latest Precambrian through early Paleozoic time records episodic extensional tectonics along the rifted, western margin of North America. Mafic volcanics occur locally in the EoCambrian part of the Hamill Group, as Unit EBG in the Eagle Bay Assemblage and in the basal part of the Index Formation in the Goldstream area north of Revelstoke. A number of Cu-Zn Besshi-type deposits of the Goldstream camp are the best examples of volcanogenic massive sulphides in these mafic volcanic/metasedimentary successions.

Bimodal arc volcanism occurred along the preserved western margin of the Kootenay terrane in middle to late Paleozoic time, in response to eastward subduction of a paleopacific ocean. Within the Eagle Bay Assemblage, this volcanism is recorded as thick accumulations of mafic and felsic pyroclastic rocks. In the Omineca Mountains in northern British Columbia, the Gilliland tuff records similar volcanism (Ferri, 1997). Rhyolitic and rhyodacitic tufts of Unit EBA of the Eagle Bay Assemblage contain numerous small, polymetallic massive sulphide deposits.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the potential for massive sulphide mineralization in the Barkerville subterrane. This paper describes and classifies a number of known mineral occurrences and attempts to correlate stratigraphic packages in the Barkerville with other parts of the Kootenay terrane.

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The Barkerville-Likely area is underlain by three fault-bounded geological terranes (Struik, 1988). The Barkerville subterrane is separated from more inboard rocks of the Cariboo subterrane by the west-verging Pleasant Valley thrust fault. The oceanic Slide Mountain terrane has been structurally emplaced along the western margin of the Barkerville subterrane, carried on the east-verging Eureka Thrust fault (Figure 1). It also structurally overlaps the Barkerville and Cariboo terranes along the Pundata thrust (Struik, op. cit.). Rocks in these terranes have been polydeformed and metamorphosed, possibly as early as middle Paleozoic time (Sutherland Brown, 1963) but certainly during the Mesozoic (Struik, 1981, 1988).

The stratigraphy of the Barkerville subterrane has been assigned, almost entirely, to the Proterozoic to Paleozoic Snowshoe Group (Struik, 1986; 1988). This package of rocks is dominated by distal, fine grained siliciclastics with lesser carbonate and volcanics. It has been subdivided into several informal units: Ramos succession, Tregillus clastics, Kee Khan marble, Keithley succession, Harvey's Ridge succession, Goose Peak quartzite, Agnes conglomerate, Eaglesnest succession, Downey succession, Bralco limestone, Hardscrabble Mountain succession, unnamed carbonate, Island Mountain amphibolite and Tom succession (Figure 2).

Units of assumed Proterozoic age include the Ramos, Tregillus, Kee Khan and Keithley packages. Lithologies are dominated by feldspathic quartzite and phyllite in the Ramos and Tregillus successions and grey quartzite and phyllite of the Keithley succession. These lithologies have similarities with Windermere sequences in the Cordillera.
Figure 1: Regional geology of the Barkerville - Likely area showing major terrane boundaries and massive sulphide occurrences (after Struk et al., 1992).
The age of the Paleozoic part of the succession is problematic as it is based primarily on a few fossil localities and correlations of several units with sections of the Eagle Bay Assemblage farther south. The Harveys Ridge succession (Figure 2), a package of black micaceous quartzite, siltite, phyllite, conglomerate, limestone and mafic metavolcanics, is correlated with Unit EBS of the Eagle Bay Assemblage (Struik, 1988; Schiarizza and Preto, 1987). The age of unit EBS is bracketed between Early Cambrian and Middle Devonian. Micaceous feldspathic quartzite, phyllite, marble and mafic metavolcanics of the Downey succession are also correlated, in part, with unit EBS. The Downey contains several microfossil localities of broadly Paleozoic age. The Bralco limestone is interpreted to sit stratigraphically above these successions. It contains Paleozoic echinoderm fragments and has been correlated with the Early Cambrian Tshinakin limestone of the Eagle Bay Assemblage (Struik, 1988; Schiarizza and Preto, 1987).

**Downey Succession**

The Downey succession is “characterized from others of the Snowshoe Group by its abundant marble and tuff” (Struik, 1988, page 59). Volcanic rocks include “green chlorite phyllite”, “volcanic tuff”, and diorites” that may also be tuffs (Struik, op. cit.).

Green phyllites of the Downey succession are interlayered with marbles, calc-silicate schists, phyllites and impure quartzites. They are commonly massive, consisting mainly of quartz, muscovite and chlorite with variable but minor garnet, actinolite, carbonate, clinozoite and/or opaques. Locally, green chlorite phyllites contain prominent augens, several centimetres in length, of quartz, feldspar and chlorite. Phyllites may weather a pale brown colour due to alteration of fine iron-rich carbonate.

At higher metamorphic grades, volcanic rocks of the Downey succession are amphibolites. These were recognized at the Mae prospect (Figure 1) and as thick, competent units within phyllite and marble on Barker Mountain (Struik, 1988). Amphibolites on the Mae property are thin, massive to finely laminated layers within coarse-grained garnet-sericite-biotite schist. They are rusty weathering due to finely dispersed pyrrhotite.

Analyses of a few samples of Downey succession metavolcanics are given in Table 1. Major element analyses suggest that they are subalkaline; however, these elements can be relatively mobile during regional metamorphism and, hence, plots with less mobile trace elements are typically more reliable. On a Zr/TiO$_2$ versus Nb/Y diagram, Downey metavolcanics appear to be alkaline, with compositions ranging from alkali basalts to trachy andesites (Figure 3a), whereas on an SiO$_2$ versus Zr/TiO$_2$ diagram (Figure 3b), these same samples plot mainly in the subalkaline fields.

**Discussion**

Mafic volcanic rocks are recognized in at least three separate stratigraphic levels in ancestral North American and Kootenay terrane rocks of southern and central British Columbia: (1) Late Proterozoic to Early Cambrian Hamill Group, Mohican Formation or correlative(?) EBG of the Eagle Bay Assemblage, (2) Early Paleozoic Index Formation of the Lardeau Group and mafic volcanics of EBS in the Eagle Bay, and (3) the middle (?) Paleozoic Jowett Formation of the Lardeau Group and EBM of the Eagle Bay.
TABLE 1: MAJOR AND TRACE ELEMENT ANALYSES OF SAMPLES OF METAVOLCANIC ROCKS OF THE SNOWSHOE GROUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BC-59a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC-60a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC-61a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC-62a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC-63a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC-64a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ATM:** Ace Trench mafic metavolcanics; **BGMM:** Big Gulp mafic metavolcanics; **GCMM:** Grain Creek mafic metavolcanics; **HCMM:** Hailey Creek mafic metavolcanics; **NM:** mafic metavolcanics; **RFV:** Ramos felsic volcanics.

**Major Oxides:** Nb, Sn, V, Zr and Y analyzed by X-Ray Fluorescence at Comass Laboratories, Vancouver, British Columbia. Remaining elements analyzed by Thermal Neutron Activation Analysis at Actlabs, Ancaster, Ontario.

Preliminary geochemical data of Downey mafic volcanic rocks suggests they are comparable to the dominantly alkali basalts of the Late Proterozoic Hamill Group (Logan et al., 1996). However, two undiagnostic fossils, collected from the Downey succession, indicate a Paleozoic (but less likely Cambrian) age (Stum, 1988, p. 60), resulting in correlations to the Lardeau Group.

A correlation of Downey metavolcanics with Jowett Formation basalts near the top of the exposed Lardeau Group in both the Goldstream (Logan and Rees, 1997) and Ferguson (Fyles and Eastwood, 1962) areas is also possible. However, the close association of mafic volcanics, limestone, impure quartzite and phyllites is most comparable to the Index Formation at the base of the Paleozoic Lardeau Group. This is supported by a stratigraphic contact with a white marble, the Bralco, which may correlate with the Early Cambrian Tshinakin limestone (Striauk, op. cit.; Schiarizzi and Preto, op. cit.) or Badshot (Mural) Formation. A correlation of the Bralco - Downey with the Badshot - Index implies that the Snowshoe Group is inverted and may generally young to the west. Considerable more sampling, analyses and interpretation are required to characterize Downey metavolcanics and to make comparisons with the dominantly Mid-Ocean ridge basalt (MORB) compositions of the Index Formation.
Correlating the Downey with the basal Lardeau has considerable implications regarding metallogeny of the Darkerville subterrane. The Index Formation contains numerous volcanic massive sulphide deposits, including Goldstream (Höy, 1979; Logan and Colpron, 1995), and therefore the Downey succession must be considered prospective ground for exploration of this deposit type. The restriction of most gold mineralization of the Barkerville camp to the Downey succession (Struik, 1988) may also, by analogy, point to the potential for discovery of lode gold deposits in the Index Formation to the south.

Ramos Succession

The Ramos succession comprises micaceous quartzites, phyllite and siltstone with minor amphibolite, marble and tuffaceous units. Tuff near the top of the Ramos succession in Ramos Creek and Swift River "includes 1 to 2 metre thick beds in black and olive phyllite and fine grained quartzite. Along Keithley Creek tuff is interlayered with dark grey and
olive phyllite near the upper contact of the Ramos with the Harveys Ridge succession” (Struik, 1988, p. 52).

A poorly exposed section of Ramos tuffs on a logging road along the western slopes of Ramos Creek includes several tens of metres of tan to brown weathering quartz-eye sericite phyllites with minor interbeds of argillite or argillaceous phyllite. In thin section, they comprise crystals of quartz and feldspar in a matrix of sericite, biotite, quartz, feldspar and minor chlorite; other samples contain small clasts, up to a millimetre in length, of intergrown quartz and feldspar. These rocks are interpreted to be intermediate to felsic ash and crystal tuffs. However, separated zircons appear to have a detrital origin, suggesting either considerable reworking of these units or a sedimentary origin (J. Mortenson, personal communication, 1997). An attempt to date these zircons is now in progress.

Analyses of Ramos tuffs (Table 1) support felsic compositions. Analyzed samples are calcalkaline rhyolites (Figure 4). On a trace element tectonic discrimination diagram, Ramos tuffs plot in the volcanic arc field (Figure 5a) and on a Rb versus Y+Nb plot, discrimination diagram, Ramos plot in the volcanic arc field (Figure 5b). Analyses of Ramos tuffs (Table 1) support felsic compositions. Analyzed samples are calcalkaline rhyolites (Figure 4). On a trace element tectonic discrimination diagram, Ramos tuffs plot in the volcanic arc field (Figure 5a) and on a Rb versus Y+Nb plot, discrimination diagram, Ramos plot in the volcanic arc field (Figure 5b).

Discussion

The Ramos succession has been assigned a Late Proterozoic age, based largely on structural interpretations, regional correlations and superposition of units (Struik, 1988). We suggest, however, that a Devonian age for the Ramos is possible, supporting a model that the Snowshoe Group tends to young to the west.

Arc volcanism in the Kootenay terrane was first documented in Devonian rocks of the Eagle Bay Assemblage. These comprise thick accumulations of felsic and mafic pyroclastic rocks, containing a number of Late Devonian subvolcanic plutons. It is possible that felsic arc volcanics of the Ramos succession are thin, distal correlatives of these Eagle Bay volcanics. Furthermore, the Quesnel Lake orthogneisses may be subvolcanic intrusions related to this volcanism. This is supported by the similar volcanic arc signatures of these gneisses (in preparation) and their restriction to western exposures of the Snowshoe Group.

The suggestion that Ramos tuffs are Devonian in age allows correlation with felsic arc volcanics in the Yukon-Tanana terrane, host to the Kudz Ze Kayah and Wolverine deposits, the Gilliland tuffs in the Big Creek Group, and the massive sulphide host rocks of the Eagle Bay Assemblage.

Summary: Stratigraphic Correlations

Struik (1988), in his definitive work on the Snowshoe Group, correlates certain parts of this succession with similar lithologies in the Eagle Bay Assemblage. This includes equating the Bralco and Tshinakin limestones and the Harveys Ridge and Downey successions with unit FRS. More precise ages on parts of the Eagle Bay Assemblage (Schiarizza and Preto, 1987), and reevaluation of volcanic successions, allow for possible reinterpretation of the stratigraphic succession of the Snowshoe Group. Schiarizza and Preto (op. cit.), based on the presence of archaeocyathids, assigned an Early Cambrian age to the Tshinakin limestone and placed unit EBS broadly in the Early Cambrian to Middle Devonian due to its stratigraphic position above the Tshinakin and below Middle Devonian felsic volcanics.

Hence, we suggest revisions to the assigned ages of some members of the Snowshoe Group. The Devonian-Mississippian age of the Hardscrabble Mountain succession is probably correct, based on similarities of its black siltites and abundance of Pb-Zn-Ba sedex showings with Devono-Mississippian black clastic successions (the Earn Assemblage) elsewhere in the miogeocline. The relative ages of the Ramos to Keithley successions become problematic. We suggest that the felsic tuff intercalated with gritty to phyllitic rocks of the Ramos succession may be Devonian to Mississippian in age and correlative, in part, with unit EBA of the Eagle Bay Assemblage. The Tregillus, Kee Khan and Keithley successions would then be Paleozoic in age. Finally, we suggest that the Downey may correlate with the basal part of the Lardeau Group.

DEPOSITS

Cunningham Creek occurrences

Numerous small, conformable lead-zinc showings occur in the Cunningham Creek valley south of Barkerville (Figure 1). These showings comprise argentiferous galena, sphalerite, pyrite ± barite in a dark graphitic shale sequence that has been correlated with the Late Proterozoic Midas Formation (Hodgson, 1978; Longe et al., 1978, Longe, 1979). Struik (1988), however, places similar occurrences on the south side of the Cunningham Creek in the Late Paleozoic Hardscrabble Mountain succession. We suggest that the nature of these deposits, similar to sediment-hosted massive sulphide deposits, and their close spatial association, argues for a common host and, hence, include all of them in Hardscrabble Mountain.

The Cunningham Creek showings were discovered between 1971 and 1976 by Coast Interior Ventures Ltd. and Riocanex Ltd. in a follow up of both stream and soil geochemical anomalies. Extensive trenching, sampling and limited drilling has recognized both the stratabound nature and the extent of mineralization in the Roundtop Mountain area.

Showings on the northeast side of Cunningham Creek are within a structurally complex succession of phyllites, sandstones, slates, dark shales and minor carbonates (Hodgson, op. cit.). Holland (1954) and Sutherland Brown (1963) map this succession as right way up whereas Struik (1988) interprets it to be inverted. The sulphide-barite layers are in either dark
limestone or associated black pyritic shales that locally contain minor chert.

The Vic showing (093A 070) comprises massive, fine-grained galena and sphalerite in a siliceous unit in grey banded limestone of a black graphitic shale succession (Longe et al., op. cit.). The showing is conformable with layering, of variable width, and exposed strike length of 20 metres.

The Evening showing, located approximately 1200 metres south of Vic, is similar, with fine-grained sulphides, but is in black cherty shales. A geochemical soil anomaly suggests mineralization is more extensive than that exposed in trenching. Samples of the Vic and Evening showings, from Longe et al. (op. cit.) assayed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Pb</th>
<th>% Zn</th>
<th>oz/t Ag</th>
<th>sample width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vic 1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic 2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A number of other showings in the immediate area also have similar mineralogy as Vic and are hosted in either black siliceous shales or dark limestone. Due to extensive overburden and structural complexity it is not possible to determine if these are structural repetitions or separate stratigraphic horizons. The X anomaly, 3 kilometres southeast of the Evening showing, is hosted in dark limestone and consists of minor disseminated sphalerite and galena, and some massive barite with pyrite. Drilling intersected green chloritic phyllite that may be a mafic volcanic unit, as well as some coarse, probable volcanioclastic units (Hodgson, 1978). The BraLco zinc showing comprises massive to wispy sphalerite with less galena, also in siliceous dark limestone. Grades of two grab samples averaged 5.3 % Pb, 15 % Zn and 0.9 oz /t Ag (Longe, 1977).

Showings south of Cunningham Creek (A-1, A-2 and Ten Dollar) occur in silicified dolostone or limestone that Struik (1988) correlates with the Hardscrabble Mountain succession. Detailed mapping by Hodgson (in Longe et al., op. cit.) correlates these successions with those north of Cunningham Creek, supporting a model that all conformable sulphide deposits are in Hardscrabble Mountain.

The conformable (stratabound) nature of many of these showings, their simple mineralogy, fine grain size, host stratigraphy and lack of replacement textures suggest that they may be sedimentary exhalite deposits.

The property was initially staked in 1988, following the discovery of sulphide-bearing float and a follow-up soil geochemical survey (Pride, 1989). Subsequent soil surveys outlined three zones with coincident lead-zinc anomalies. Despite limited outcrop, mineralization was discovered in two of the anomalous zones (Pride, op. cit.).

The area is underlain by a northwest dipping succession of garnet schist, black phyllite, calcilcatic gneiss and minor marble and amphibolite of the Downey succession. Although interpreted to be middle Paleozoic in age (Struik, 1988), it is suggested that the Downey may correlate with the Early Paleozoic Index Formation. Immediately to the north, this succession is overlain by a thick limestone-marble unit, the Bralco limestone. Late northwest trending faults, with displacements of a few tens of metres, cut these units. The regional metamorphic grade is high, with garnets and staurolites developed in pelitic units and amphibole in calcilicates and mafic metavolcanics.

Mineralization in the lower anomalous zone comprises dispersed sulphides in two thin, rusty-weathering, fine-grained quartz-garnet amphibolite layers. High Mn content is reflected in the abundant spessartine (+ almandine/grossular) gneisses in the amphibolite (Table 2). The amphibolites are interlayered with coarse-grained garnet-biotite schist, minor calcilicate gneiss and thin impure marble layers. Petrographic study of a piece of float from this showing contained approximately 10 percent opaques, comprising 60 % pyrite, 20 % pyrrhotite, 12 % magnetite, 5 % chalcopyrite 2 % galena and 1 % sphalerite (Pride, 1989). Pyrite (and marcasite) occurs in late veinlets and replacing pyrrhotite.

The second anomalous zone, on the slopes above the lower zone, is underlain mainly by the Bralco limestone. The only discovered mineralization is minor galena in a sparry dolomite filled fracture within the marble. It is not believed to be the source of the Zn-Pb geochemical anomaly (Pride, 1989).

These showings and host succession have similarities with Mn-rich, stratabound Pb-Zn showings of the Bend prospect (Leask, 1982; Reddy and Godwin, 1987) north of Golden. They also have similarities with volcanogenic sulphide deposits, in particular Besshi-type deposits. These include a mixed mafic volcanic(?)/metasedimentary host succession and a copper, zinc and lead metal content.

Big Gulp (093A 143)

Big Gulp is a new discovery by Barker Minerals Ltd. It is located approximately 1.5 kilometres south of Cariboo Lake, along "C road", a spur of the 8400 logging road. Work on the property is limited, with only reconnaissance mapping, some sampling, and a soil geochemical survey.
### TABLE 2: ASSAYS OF HAND SAMPLES OF MASSIVE SULPHIDE PROSPECTS IN THE SNOWSHOE GROUP, BARKERVILL SUBTERRANE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Mo ppm</th>
<th>Cu ppm</th>
<th>Pb ppm</th>
<th>Zn ppm</th>
<th>Ag ppm</th>
<th>Au ppm</th>
<th>Ni ppm</th>
<th>Co ppm</th>
<th>Mn ppm</th>
<th>Sr ppm</th>
<th>Cd ppm</th>
<th>Bi ppm</th>
<th>V ppm</th>
<th>La ppm</th>
<th>Cr ppm</th>
<th>Ba ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIG GULP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-6C</td>
<td>py ooo schist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>46031</td>
<td>&lt;.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2343</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-10</td>
<td>po-chl schist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6055</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>&lt;.4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H97BC-1-E-1</td>
<td>py-qtz schist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6537</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-1-E-2</td>
<td>po-qtz schist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>17024</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAL 97-9</td>
<td>qtz-bi schist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&lt;.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5182</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAL 97-10</td>
<td>qtz-se schist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>&lt;.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133</td>
<td>sulphide schist</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3249</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352</td>
<td>gn-qtz-chl schist</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>1586</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-46-2b</td>
<td>po-amph schist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>&lt;.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43955</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-46-2c</td>
<td>po-amph schist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>&lt;.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21236</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;.4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-46-3a</td>
<td>po-amph schist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>&lt;.5</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28360</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2: CONTINUED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fe %</th>
<th>Ca %</th>
<th>P %</th>
<th>Ti %</th>
<th>Na %</th>
<th>K %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIG GULP</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>18.11</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H97BC-1-E-1</td>
<td>23.15</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H97BC-1-E-2</td>
<td>17.24</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAL 97-9</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAL 97-10</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1133</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.04</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>18.46</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-46-2b</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1197BC-46-3a</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Analyses of float samples of Barker Minerals are by Echo-tech labs, Kamloops. Analyses of samples in this report are by MIC, Acme Analytical Laboratories, Vancouver. MIC = HClO4-HNO3-HCl-HF digestion and ICP. FAC = Fire assay-ICP/graphite furnace finish. Data source: 1. this report; 2. R. Lane, personal communication, 1997; 3. Barker Minerals Ltd. Arsenic: Big Gulp = 16 ppm. All other values below 10 ppm.
Big Gulp is a stratabound semi-massive sulphide occurrence in the Downey succession. Immediate host rocks are pale grey to green sericite phyllite and darker chlorite phyllite; both contain abundant dispersed ankerite and variable amounts of calcite. These phyllites are interpreted to be altered mafic tuffs (samples H97BC-6a, 6b, Table 1). The phyllites overlie Quesnel Lake orthogneiss immediately to the southwest and are structurally overlain by a “chert to cherty tuff” horizon and then argillite (Roach, 1997).

Mineralization comprises a number of thin layers with dark sphalerite, and minor chalcopyrite and pyrite, dispersed in a siliceous, sericitic matrix. It is streaked parallel to a prominent west-plunging mineral lineation. Sulphides also occur in thin, discontinuous foliation-parallel quartz stringers. A grab sample assayed 4.5 % Zn and 0.06% Cu (H97BC-6a; Table 2), and a sample by Roach (1997) contained 3.17 % Zn and 0.04 % Cu.

The host succession and Zn-Cu tenor suggest similarities with Besshi-type massive sulphide mineralization. Alteration, including sericitic, silification and “brownish-white carbonate” just northwest of the showing, is also characteristic of this deposit type.

Ace

Introduction

The Ace property is located on the south side of the Little River, approximately 35 km northeast of Likely (Figure 1). It is readily accessible by the Welwood 8400 logging road that cuts through the property. Exposures in the area are minimal, largely restricted to isolated outcrops along the banks of the Little River, on the higher slopes of Mount Barker, in logging roadcuts and in recent trenches. A considerable part of the property has been logged, the remainder is covered by stands of fir, spruce and pine, and by considerable thicknesses of glacial till.

High gold values in sands of the Little River as well as sulphide float boulders, first recognized by Louis Doyle, led to the acquisition of the Ace property and formation of Barker Minerals Ltd. in 1994. Subsequent detailed prospecting, line cutting and soil geochemistry outlined a sulphide boulder float train and coincidental geochemical anomalies that paralleled the regional structural trend. More recent work, during the summer of 1995, including additional prospecting, geochemistry, geophysical surveys and some geological mapping, defined more clearly exploration targets on the property. As a result of successful regional work, the property was expanded significantly in 1996, and now includes prospects such as Big Gulp and the Maybe (see Hoy and Ferril, 1998). Work in 1997 included considerable trenching, geological mapping and sampling. The following description of the Ace property incorporates results presented in assessment reports as well as unpublished internal reports by Barker Minerals Ltd.

Two main targets are apparent on the Ace claims: massive sulphides and gold-quartz veins. Both of these were recognized in the float train and have since been discovered in trenches. Geochemical soil surveys identified coincident Zn and Pb anomalies, with threshold values of 100 and 25 ppm respectively, along the northern margin of the float train. A moderately anomalous Cu zone was identified to the south, along the lower slopes of Mount Barker; it is locally associated with high As values. The regional extent of these anomalies, their tenor and their orientation parallel to regional structural and stratigraphic trends, suggest that they may be related to massive sulphide targets. Local Bi anomalies, and erratic Au highs, may be related to vein mineralization.

Geology

The Ace property is underlain by phyllitic rocks of the Downey succession. These have been assigned an early to middle Paleozoic age, possibly correlative, in part, with the Broadview Formation of the Lardeau Group (Struik, 1986); however, as described above, they may correlate with the Index Formation at the base of the Lardeau. The succession trends easterly, with moderate dips to the north. It has been cut by at least two prominent northeast trending faults (Struik, 1988), referred to informally as the GSC-1 and GSC-2 faults by Barker Minerals Ltd., that may define a horst in the central part of the Ace claims (Lammlle, 1997).

Dominant rock types in trenches include tan to pale grey or green phyllites and dark grey graphic phyllite, both interpreted to be fine grained metasediments. These are interlayered occasionally with impure sericitic quartzites or orthoquartzites and rare dark limestone beds. Green, massive chlorite phyllites are interpreted to be mafic volcanics. At higher metamorphic grades on the northern slopes of Mount Barker, these occur as amphibolites that are referred to as “diorites” or “diorite tuffs” (Struik, 1988).

Mineralization and alteration

The two dominant deposit types on the Ace property, semmassive sulphides and gold-quartz veins, have been found in numerous float samples, in trenches and in a few of the natural exposures.

Pyrite and pyrrhotite are commonly dispersed throughout phyllites on the Ace property. Semimassive sulphides, dominantly pyrite and pyrrhotite, are also concentrated parallel to foliation in coarse, quartzofeldspathic schists. Sulphide concentrations greater than 50 per cent are common and, therefore, the term “massive sulphide” is locally appropriate. The sulphides are deformed, along with their gangue and host succession, in a ductile manner. A crude banding is often apparent, defined by variable sulphide/silicate concentrations. Chalcopyrite and sphalerite contents are variable, but generally less than a few per cent each.

The sulphide host rock is typically a granular quartz-feldspar schist or phyllite, with grain size up to several millimetres. In the field it has been variously
Semimassive to massive sulphide mineralization on the Ace claims has similarities to Besshi style volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits. Host rocks include a succession of sericite phyllites, impure quartzites, minor calcareous units and chlorite phyllites. These are interpreted to be metasediments and mafic metavolcanic units. They are similar to and may correlate with the basal Index Formation in the Goldstream area, host to a number of massive sulphide deposits.

Sulphides, dominantly pyrrhotite and pyrite with minor chalcopyrite and sphalerite, are in a granular feldspathic schist. The protolith of this unit is unknown; however, it has similarities to the siliceous felspathic schist. The presence of a variety of metals, including Co, Mo, Bi, As and Ni are typical of many Besshi deposits (Slack, 1993) and is, therefore, interpreted to be largely an alteration envelope. More regional alteration includes potassic (sericite +/- K-spar), magnesite (chlorite and phlogopite), and widely dispersed pyrite and pyrrhotite.

The metal content, dominantly Cu and Zn with low Pb, is also similar to Besshi deposits. As well, anomalous concentrations of a variety of metals, including Co, Mo, Bi, As and Ni are typical of many Besshi deposits (Slack, 1993). These deposits can also contain high precious metal content, with typical grades of 5 to 20 ppm Ag and variable but locally high gold values.

The gold-quartz veins have some similarities with vein mineralization of the Barkerville-Wells camp. These deposits include both early replacement deposits and younger gold-sulphide veins. They all occur in the Downey succession, in rocks of greenschist facies regional metamorphism, and in fold hinges or along consistent fault or fracture patterns. By analogy, veins on the Ace property may have similar stratigraphic, metamorphic and structural control. Their distribution, coincident with semimassive sulphide mineralization and targets, and somewhat similar base and precious metal content, suggest that they may be, in part, remobilized from these early deposits as has been suggested for deep level veins associated with deposits in the Besshi district, Japan (see Slack, 1993).
Furthermore, we propose that felsic volcanics of the Ramos succession correlate with Devonian-Mississippian arc volcanics of the Eagle Bay Assemblage.

Revised correlation of the Snowshoe Group has considerable metallogenetic implications. The Downey succession, host to numerous gold veins and replacement deposits in the Barkerville-Wells area, also has potential for Be&i-type volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits similar to those that occur in the Index Formation in the Goldstream camp. Recognition and correlation of Ramos succession tuffs with arc volcanics of the Eagle Bay and possibly Devonian-Mississippian volcanics of the Yukon-Tanana terrane enhances its potential for discovery of Kuroko-type (polymetallic) massive sulphide deposits.

Recent discovery by Barker Minerals Ltd. of Cu-Zn+/-Au occurrences in the Downey, including the Ace, has potential for Be&i-type volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits. Recognized is the Eagle Bay and possibly Devono-Mississippian succession, host to numerous gold veins and Lefebure, P. Schiariizza and B. Stroik are gratefully acknowledged.
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